

# *Taking up our Cross Jairus' Daughter*

October 29, 2017  
21<sup>st</sup> Sunday after Pentecost  
Revision G

## Table of Contents

Epistle: Galatians 2:16-20	252
The Gospel versus the Law in the 1 <sup>st</sup> Century .....	252
The Issues in Jerusalem, 48 AD .....	253
The Result of Paul's Actions.....	255
Dead to the World.....	257
Examples of the Gospel and the Cross.....	260
Taking Up Our Cross: Humility and Love.....	263

### Epistle: Galatians 2:16-20

Today's Epistle lesson is also used in the Orthodox Church in September for the Sunday after the Exaltation of the Cross. In the West, this Epistle lesson is sometimes used for the 4<sup>th</sup> Sunday after Pentecost. For a Study of other aspects of the Cross in our lives, see The Exaltation of the Cross (September 14<sup>th</sup>), the Sundays before and after the Exaltation, and the 3<sup>rd</sup> Sunday of Lent.

### The Gospel versus the Law in the 1<sup>st</sup> Century

The context of today's Epistle lesson is the conduct of the Apostle Peter in Antioch (Galatians 2:11-12) where he usually ate with the Antioch Gentiles, but withdrew and ate separately with Jews who came from Jerusalem. Paul referred to Peter, Barnabas and others of the Jews as hypocrites and as not being straightforward about the truth of the Gospel regarding Jewish dietary laws (Leviticus 11).

Paul's argument was that Peter, himself, had had a vision in c. 40 AD (Acts 10:10-16) which Peter rested heavily upon in his argument with the Jews at the Council of Jerusalem in 48 AD that the Gentiles are equal partakers of the Kingdom:

“So God, who knows the heart, acknowledged them (the Gentiles) by giving them the Holy Spirit, just as He did to us, and made no distinction between us and them, purifying their hearts by faith. Now therefore, why do you test God by putting a yoke (i.e. the Mosaic Law) on the neck of the disciples which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear? But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ we shall be saved in the same manner as they” (Acts 15:8-11).

The decree of the Council of Jerusalem was that the Gentile believers did not need to keep the Mosaic Law in all its rituals (Acts 15:19-29). The Epistle lesson is Paul's commentary on what Peter and the others did in Antioch a few years after the Council of Jerusalem. On this occasion, Peter had been hypocritical. When he was there by himself, he socialized and ate with the brethren there. But when a delegation of Jews came to visit from Bishop James in Jerusalem, he withdrew and separated himself according to the Jewish customs of avoiding defilement from contact with Gentiles (Galatians 2:11-13, John 18:28).

Copyright © Mark Kern 2007

Paul's reply to Peter is the subject of the Epistle lesson: Paul said, "If you, being a Jew, live in the manner of Gentiles, why do you compel Gentiles to live as Jews?" (Galatians 2:14) This was not being straightforward about the truth of the Gospel, where a man is justified not by the works of the Law but by the faith of Jesus Christ (Galatians 2:14, 16 twice).

### **The Issues in Jerusalem, 48 AD**

What were the real issues in Jerusalem that led to the confrontation between Paul and those who wanted to keep the Mosaic Law? In Jerusalem at that time was a small, but very vocal, group of zealots bent on expelling Roman rule. One of the Twelve Apostles, Simon the Zealot, was part of this group at one time, and Judas Iscariot may have been involved also. According to Josephus, the political party of the Zealots was ultimately responsible for the destruction of Jerusalem<sup>1</sup> as a result of their scheming and conspiring to achieve their goals. To them, circumcision represented Jewish national identity. They didn't necessarily keep all the requirements of the Law, but they were very vocal about those aspects of the Law that spoke to their political agenda<sup>2</sup>.

This ideology spilled over to the Christians. The conflict among the Christians<sup>3</sup> was between a small minority of Jewish Christian Zealots, who were zealous about keeping the Law (Acts 21:20) and a large majority of Jewish Christians with a weak faith, who also kept the Law, but were not involved with the political agenda of the Zealots. They knew Christ after the flesh, as the Jewish Messiah, and were very sincere about that. If Paul (and James) handled this situation wrong and offended either group, many people might revert back to Judaism and be lost in the destruction coming to Jerusalem in a few years.

Tertullian stated<sup>4</sup> that circumcision was the only issue involved when Paul wrote to the Galatians. To the Jews, "circumcision" had their national identity associated with it, since this was part of the Covenant God made with Abraham, and the Law required anyone who rejected circumcision to be cut off from Israel (Genesis 17:9-14). Paul's opponents still believed in God, but they perverted the Gospel by retaining an emphasis on the Law. If the Zealots had not brought in the spies to see what Paul was doing regarding Christian liberty, Paul may not have made it such an issue. For Paul, the major issue was the basis for his teaching; for his opponents, the major issue was Jewish national identity.

"When Paul said, that 'neither was Titus compelled to be circumcised' (Galatians 2:3), he for the first time shows us that circumcision was the only question connected with the maintenance of the Law, which had been agitated by those whom he called 'false brethren secretly brought in' (Galatians 2:4). While these persons went no further than to insist on a continuance of the Law, they retained unquestionably a sincere belief in God. They perverted the gospel in their teaching, not by tampering with the Scripture to eliminate Christ, but by

---

<sup>1</sup> See Josephus, Wars of the Jews, IV, vi, 3.

<sup>2</sup> In a way, this is similar to the US today, where there are Christian zealots who have a very strong political agenda involving US national identity. Those aspects of Christianity that speak to their political agenda are very important to them. Yet out of kindness and mercy, we don't want to offend them and cause them to abandon the Faith.

<sup>3</sup> See Roberts and Donaldson, ed., Ante-Nicene Fathers, Volume 3, Hendrickson Publishers, Peabody, MA, 1995, Footnote 22, p. 433.

<sup>4</sup> Tertullian, The Five Books Against Marcion, II, ii, 5, 3.

retaining the emphasis on the Law. Therefore, he said, ‘Because of false brethren secretly brought in, who came in secretly to spy out our liberty, which we have in Christ, that they might bring us into bondage, to whom we submitted not even for an hour’ (Galatians 2:4-5). Let us only attend to the clear sense and to the reason for their actions, and the perversion of the Scripture will be apparent”.

“Paul first said that the Apostles did not compel Titus, a Greek, to be circumcised and added that false brethren were secretly brought in. He gives us an insight into his reason for acting in a clean contrary way, showing us why he did what he would neither have done nor shown to us, if the Zealots had not induced him to act as he did. But then would they have yielded to the subjection that the Zealots demanded, if these false brethren had not crept in to spy out their liberty? I apprehend not. They therefore gave way (in a partial concession), because there were persons whose weak faith required consideration. For their rudimentary belief, which was still in suspense about the observance of the Law, deserved this concessive treatment, when even the Apostle himself had some suspicion that he might have run, and be still running, in vain (Galatians 2:2). Accordingly, the false brethren, who were the spies of their Christian liberty, must be thwarted in their efforts to bring it under the yoke of their own Judaism. Paul needed (1) to discover whether his labor had been in vain; (2) those who preceded him in the Apostolate to have the opportunity to give him the right hand of fellowship; and (3) to have an understanding before he entered on the office of preaching to the Gentiles, according to the Apostles’ arrangement with him (Galatians 2:9-10). He therefore made some concession, as was necessary, for a time; and this was the reason why he had Timothy circumcised (Acts 16:3), and the Nazirites introduced into the temple (Acts 21:23-26). Their truth may be inferred from their agreement with the apostle’s own profession, how ‘he became all things to all men, that he might gain all’”.

John Cassian stated<sup>5</sup> that Paul identified with those he wished to reach. “To the Jews, I became as a Jew that I might gain the Jews; to those who were under the Law as being under the Law, though not myself under the Law, that I might gain those who were under the Law; to those who were without law, I became as without law, though I was not without the Law of God but under the Law of Christ, that I might gain those who were without law; to the weak I became weak, that I might gain the weak: I became all things to all men, that I might save all” (1 Corinthians 9:19-22). The Apostles in Jerusalem recognized that there would be a change in the Law as a result of the Coming of Christ (Hebrews 7:12), and they worked with the Apostle Paul in this regard.

“The Apostle James, and all the chief princes of the primitive Church urged the Apostle Paul, in consequence of the weakness of feeble persons, to condescend to a fictitious arrangement and insisted on his purifying himself according to the requirements of the Law. By shaving his head and paying his vows (Acts 21:20-25), they thought that the present harm, which would come from this hypocrisy, was of no account, but had regard rather to the gain, which would result from his continued preaching. For the gain to the Apostle Paul from

---

<sup>5</sup> John Cassian, The Second Conference of Abbot Joseph, II, xvii, 20.

his strictness would not have counterbalanced the loss to all nations from his speedy death. And the whole Church would certainly have then incurred this unless this good and salutary hypocrisy had preserved him for the preaching of the Gospel”.

“Someone may ask how we can prove that Paul suited himself to all men in all things. When did he to the Jews become as a Jew? He had said, ‘Behold, I, Paul, say to you that if you become circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing’ (Galatians 5:2). Yet by circumcising Timothy (Acts 16:3), he adopted a shadow as it were of Jewish superstition. And again, where did he become to those under the Law, as under the Law? James and all the Elders of the Church, fearing lest he might be attacked by the multitude of Jewish Christians, who had received the faith of Christ in such a way as still to be bound by the rites of legal ceremonies, came to his rescue in his difficulty with this counsel and advice” (Acts 21:20-24).

“And so, for the good of those who were under the Law, he trod under foot for a while the strict view which he had expressed. ‘I, through the Law, am dead to the Law, that I may live to God’ (Galatians 2:19). Contrary to this, he was driven to shave his head, and be purified according to the Law and pay his vows after the Mosaic rites in the Temple” (Acts 21:20-24).

“For the good of those who were utterly ignorant of the Law of God, Paul himself became as if without law? In Athens, where heathen wickedness was flourishing, he stated, ‘as I passed by, I saw your idols and an altar on which was written: To the unknown God’ (Acts 17:23). When he began speaking to them, as if he himself also had been without law, he introduced the faith of Christ, saying, ‘The One whom you worship ignorantly, Him I proclaim to you’ (Acts 17:23). And after a little, as if he had known nothing whatever of the Divine Law, he chose to bring forward a verse of a heathen poet rather than a saying of Moses or Christ, saying, ‘As some of your own poets have said; for we are also His offspring’ (Acts 17:28). And when he had thus approached them with their own authorities, which they could not reject, thus confirming the truth by things false, he added, ‘Since then we are the offspring of God we ought not to think that the Godhead is like gold or silver or stone sculptured by the art and device of man’” (Acts 17:29).

“In this way he fulfilled what he had commanded the Corinthians to do when he said, ‘be without offense to Jews and Greeks and the Church of Christ, as I also please all men in all things, not seeking my own profit but that of the many, that they may be saved’ (1 Corinthians 10:32-33, Romans 14:3-13, 2 Corinthians 11:29). For it had certainly been profitable at other times not to circumcise Timothy, not to shave his head, not to undergo Jewish purification, not to practice going barefoot, not to pay legal vows. But he did all these things because he did not seek his own profit but that of the many”.

### **The Result of Paul’s Actions**

At the Council of Jerusalem in 48 AD, Paul sought (1) clarification of his interpretation of the Gospel and (2) agreement with the Apostles and elders in Jerusalem. This he received very clearly, and Paul was of one mind with the Apostles and elders. Later Church Councils were patterned after Paul’s agreement with the Apostles and elders in Jerusalem, and this

agreement came to be referred to as “The mind of the Church”. While the Jewish Christian Zealots had to agree with the Council, because their disagreement would be an obvious gesture of countermanding God, they later opposed the decision of the Council. Paul ended up opposing them for the rest of his life. A similar pattern emerged following most of the later Councils of the Church, where the heretics often accepted the decisions of the Council initially, but later opposed these decisions. In both cases, this showed the basic dishonesty of the heretics, and where their allegiance was really based.

John Chrysostom pointed out<sup>6</sup> that a change in the Law was foreseen in the Mosaic Law as it referred to Christ:

“The Law itself has taught me no longer to obey itself; and therefore, if I do so, I shall be transgressing even its teaching. How, and in what way has it so taught? Moses says, speaking of Christ, ‘The Lord God will raise up for you a prophet from your midst of your brethren, like me; to Him shall you listen’ (Deuteronomy 18:15). Therefore, those who do not obey Him transgress the Law”.

Chrysostom continued<sup>7</sup> to say that the impact of Peter’s conduct in Antioch was to marginalize the death of Christ. That is, one is not justified by circumcision or by Jewish rituals, but by faith. Because of the circumstances, Paul had to do something!

“Christ’s death is a plain proof of the inability of the Law to justify us; and if the Law does justify, then His death is superfluous. Yet how could it be reasonable to say Christ’s death has been done heedlessly and in vain, when it is so awesome, so surpassing human reason, a mystery so ineffable, with which Patriarchs travailed, which Prophets foretold, which angels gazed on with consternation, which all men confess as the summit of Divine tenderness? How utterly out of place it would be if they should say that so great and high a deed had been done superfluously, for this is what their conduct (i.e. the conduct of Peter and the Jews in Antioch) came to”.

At this point, some clarification is needed regarding “the Law”. The Lord referred to the Greatest Commandment, “You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, with all your soul and with all your mind, and you shall love your neighbor as yourself” (Matthew 22:37-39, Deuteronomy 6:5, Leviticus 19:18). On this, He said, hangs all the Law and the Prophets (Matthew 22:40); and this Greatest Commandment was part of a Creed<sup>8</sup> used in the 1<sup>st</sup> Century Synagogue worship. In addition, the Lord had said, “Assuredly I say to you, till heaven and earth pass away, one yod (smallest Hebrew letter) or one piece of a Hebrew letter will by no means pass from the Law till all<sup>9</sup> is fulfilled” (Matthew 5:18). He also said that those who worship the Father must worship Him in spirit and truth (John 4:24).

All this is not contradictory. All the details of the Mosaic Law regarding sacrifices, uncleanness, Feast Days, avoiding certain foods, etc., had a purpose in leading the Lord’s people

---

<sup>6</sup> John Chrysostom, *Homilies on Galatians*, Chapter 2, v 19.

<sup>7</sup> John Chrysostom, *Homilies on Galatians*, Chapter 2, v 21.

<sup>8</sup> Edersheim, *Sketches of Jewish Social Life*, p. 245, 101-104.

<sup>9</sup> For more details, see the Gospel lesson for the 15th Sunday after Pentecost.

toward holiness. Once Israel ceased to exist as a nation, these tenets of the Law changed. We no longer offer animal sacrifices, but we are urged to present our bodies as a living sacrifice (Romans 12:1). We no longer follow the various washings prescribed by the Mosaic Law, but we are advised to avoid the defilement<sup>10</sup> from unclean spirits, which can be really foul.

The usefulness of the Law is as a tutor to get us started in the right direction (Galatians 3:24, 25). As we grow in the Faith and can distinguish the Holy Spirit speaking to us, we don't need the Law anymore, because it is written on our hearts (Jeremiah 31:31-34, Hebrews 10:16-17). John Chrysostom stated<sup>11</sup> that the Law and the Gospel work together:

“The Law is not the adversary but the fellow worker of Grace. But if when Grace is come, the Law continues to hold us down, it becomes an adversary. For if it confines those who ought to go forward to Grace, then it is the destruction of our salvation. If a candle which gave light by night kept us, when it became day, from the sun, it would not only cease to benefit us, but would injure us. And so does the Law if it stands between the greater benefits of Grace and us. Just so a tutor or *pedagogue* makes a youth ridiculous, by retaining him with himself, when time calls for his departure”.

## **Dead to the World**

Paul stated, “For I through the Law died to the Law that I might live to God. I have been crucified with Christ; it is no longer I who live, but Christ lives in me; and the *life* which I now live in the flesh I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me and gave Himself for me” (Galatians 2:19-20). The point he is making is that if our hope is really in the Kingdom of God, the things of this world have very little meaning.

John Chrysostom stated<sup>12</sup> that it is our love for God that deadens us to the things of this world, such as glory and honor, as if we were the only person on earth and there was no glory to receive from others. By focusing on the invisible things of God, we begin to not notice the visible things of this world.

“If anyone is caught with the fire of Christ's love, he becomes like a man would who dwelt alone upon the earth, so utterly does he not care for either glory or disgrace. As for trials, he so despises them, both scourges and imprisonments, as though the body in which he suffers were another's and not his own, or as though he had a body made of adamant<sup>13</sup>. As for the sweet things of this life, he so laughs them to scorn, is so insensitive to them, as we are insensitive of dead bodies, being ourselves dead. He is as far from being taken captive by any passion, as the gold refined in the fire is free from alloy. For even as flies would not dart into the midst of a flame, but fly from it, so the passions dare not even to come near this man. Observe Paul, how he felt towards the whole world. ‘The world is crucified to me, and I to the world’ (Galatians 6:14). I am dead to the

---

<sup>10</sup> For more details regarding sacrifice, see the Epistle lesson for the 6<sup>th</sup> Sunday after Pentecost; for more details on uncleanness, see the Epistle lesson for the 17<sup>th</sup> Sunday after Pentecost.

<sup>11</sup> John Chrysostom, Homilies on Galatians, Chapter 3, vv. 25-26.

<sup>12</sup> John Chrysostom, Commentary on Acts, LII, Recapitulation.

<sup>13</sup> Adamant was a very hard legendary material, possible associated with diamond.

world, and the world is dead to me. And again: ‘it is no longer I that live, but Christ lives in me (Galatians 2:20). To show you that it was like he was in solitude, and so looked upon the things present, hear him saying, ‘We look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen’ (2 Corinthians 4:18). What he is saying is that he sees the invisible things, and the visible he doesn’t see. Such are the eyes, which are given by Christ; for these bodily eyes see the things that are seen, but things unseen they don’t see. Similarly, those heavenly eyes do the contrary. No one that beholds the invisible things, beholds the visible; no one beholding the things seen, beholds the invisible. When we turn our mind inwards, we think of the unseen things, and our views become raised above the things on earth. Let us despise glory; let us be willing to be laughed at rather than to be praised. For he who is laughed at is not hurt; but he who is praised is hurt much”.

John Cassian gave<sup>14</sup> some specifics regarding how we can continually carry our Cross. If we were crucified, we would not be free to go where we want, and participate freely in the things of this world; we would be nailed in place. If our love for the Lord and the things of God are real, we will consider this effect in our life.

“Renunciation is nothing but the evidence of the cross and of dying to yourself. And so, you must know that today you are dead to this world and its deeds and desires, and that, as the Apostle says, you are crucified to this world and this world to you. Consider therefore the demands of the cross under the sign of which you ought to live in this life. We must therefore pass our time in this life in that fashion and form in which He was crucified for us on the cross. So that as David says, ‘piercing our flesh with the fear of the Lord’ (Psalm 119:120), we may have all our wishes and desires not subservient to our own lusts but fastened to His death. Thus, shall we fulfill the command of the Lord, which says, ‘He who does not take his cross and follow after me is not worthy of me’” (Matthew 10:38).

“But perhaps you will say, ‘How can a man carry his cross continually? Or how can anyone who is alive be crucified? Hear briefly how this is. The fear of the Lord is our cross. One who is crucified no longer has the power of moving or turning his limbs in any direction he pleases. So, we also ought to affix our wishes and desires, not in accordance with what is pleasant and delightful to us now, but in accordance with the Law of the Lord, where it constrains us. One who is fastened to the wood of the cross (1) no longer considers things present, (2) no longer thinks about his likings, (3) no longer is perplexed by anxiety and care for tomorrow, (4) no longer is disturbed by any desire of possession, nor inflamed by any pride, strife or rivalry, (5) does not grieve at present injuries, nor remember past ones, and (6) while he is still breathing in the body considers that he is dead to all earthly things, sending the thoughts of his heart on before to that place where he is shortly to come. So we also, when crucified by the fear of the Lord ought to be dead to all these things. That is, not only to carnal vices but also to all earthly things, having the eye of our minds fixed there where we hope that

---

<sup>14</sup> John Cassian, Twelve Books on the Institutes of the Coenobia, IV, 34-35.

we are soon to pass. For in this way, we can deaden all our desires and carnal affections”.

Cassian continued<sup>15</sup> to say that taking up our Cross is easy if we take the right attitude. If we are actually strong when we appear to be weak, and if the things of this world don't bother us, life is easy.

“We can prove that our Lord's saying is true, if we approach the way of perfection properly and in accordance with Christ's will, deadening all our desires, and cutting off things we like that injure us. If we abandon this world's goods, our adversary won't find opportunities for destroying us. If we actually recognize that we are not our own masters, we can truly make our own the Apostle's words, 'it is no longer I who live, but Christ lives in me' (Galatians 2:20). For what can be burdensome to one who (1) has embraced with his whole heart the yoke of Christ, (2) is established in true humility and (3) always fixes his eye on the Lord's sufferings and rejoices in all the wrongs that are offered to him. 'Therefore, I take pleasure in infirmities, in reproaches, in needs, in persecutions, in distresses, for Christ's sake. For when I am weak, then I am strong!' (2 Corinthians 12:10). By what loss of any common thing, I ask, will he be injured, who voluntarily rejects for Christ's sake all the pomp of this world, and considers all of its desires as dung, so that he may gain Christ, and by continual meditation on this command of the Gospel, scorns getting upset at every loss? 'For what profit is it to a man if he gains the whole world, and loses his own soul? Or what will a man give in exchange for his soul?' (Matthew 16:26). For the loss of what will he be bothered, who recognizes that everything that can be taken away from others is not their own, and proclaims with unconquered valor, 'We brought nothing into this world; it is certain that we can carry nothing out?'" (1 Timothy 6:7)

John Chrysostom stated<sup>16</sup> that there is a balance in providing for the needs of our flesh. The point is to make our flesh healthy in a godly sense.

What does the Lord intimate in saying, 'Make no provision for the flesh, to fulfill its lusts?' (Romans 13:14). He does not forbid drinking, but drinking to excess, not marrying, but seeking luxurious self-enjoyment. He does not forbid making provision for the flesh either, but He does forbid doing so with a view 'to fulfill the lusts of the flesh', as for instance, by going beyond necessities. For that he does ask us to make provision for it, hear from what he says to Timothy, 'Use a little wine for your stomach's sake, and your frequent infirmities' (1 Timothy 5:23). So here too he is for taking care of it, but for health, and not luxurious self-enjoyment. This would cease to be making provision for it, when you were lighting up the flame, when you were making the furnace powerful. But that you may form a clearer notion what 'making provision' for it 'to fulfill its lusts' is, and may shun such a provision, just call to mind the drunken, the gluttonous, those that pride themselves in dress, those that are effeminate, those that live a soft and relaxed life, and you will see what is meant. For they do everything not

---

<sup>15</sup> John Cassian, Conference of Abbot Abraham, XXIV, 23.

<sup>16</sup> John Chrysostom, Homilies on Romans, XXIV, v 14.

that they may be healthy, but that they may seek pleasure and kindle desire. But you, who have put on Christ, prune away all those things, and seek for one thing only, to have your flesh healthy.

### **Examples of the Gospel and the Cross**

Paul stated, “Those who are Christ’s have crucified the flesh with its passions and desires. If we live in the Spirit, let us also walk in the Spirit” (Galatians 5:24-25). Since the passions and desires of the flesh are the things that hinder us from a close walk with God, denying these is an integral part of our crosses. And our crosses will generally lead us to a point where we are better able to do this.

But we need to actually do something about it. “If we live in the Spirit, let us walk in the Spirit” (Galatians 5:25). This is a faith-works issue. From James 2:17-20, the English words to believe and to have faith are translations of the same Greek word (meaning to believe, to have faith, to trust). Similarly “works” means labor or deeds. Demons believe and have faith (James 2:19), but they tremble and they have no deeds to show for their belief or faith. Similarly for us: if we live in the Spirit, but don’t walk in the Spirit, our belief (or faith) is barren or dead. Unless we have both faith and works, we really have neither.

As an example, consider the Crosses of the Apostle Paul. All his difficulty did not cause him any permanent harm. Instead all this caused Paul to be one of the most admired of the Apostles.

“From the Jews five times I received forty stripes minus one. Three times I was beaten with rods; once I was shipwrecked; a night and a day I have been in the deep (i.e. after shipwreck). In journeys often, in perils of waters, in perils of robbers, in perils of my own countrymen, in perils of the Gentiles, in perils in the city, in perils in the wilderness, in perils in the sea, in perils among false brethren; in weariness and toil, in sleeplessness often, in hunger and thirst, in fasting often, in cold and nakedness — besides the other things, what comes upon me daily: my deep concern for all the churches” (2 Corinthians 11:24-27).

Similarly with the Patriarch Job’s Crosses. In his day, Job was unsurpassed in righteousness (Job 1:8). Yet the Lord allowed Satan to take away all his wealth, his ten children, plus his health, leaving him sitting among the ashes scraping the pus and the worms off the boils on his skin with a piece of broken pottery (Job 1:13-22, 2:7-8). To add insult to injury, Job’s friends then began to inquire of him as to which of his secret sins had brought this calamity on him (Job 4:7-21, 8:1-6, 11:1-20). Reflecting on Job’s situation, John Chrysostom stated<sup>17</sup> that

“The reproaches of his friends appeared more grievous than the worms and the sores. There is nothing more intolerable to those in affliction than a word capable of stinging the soul!”

---

<sup>17</sup> John Chrysostom, Homilies on 2 Corinthians, XII, 3.

Job grew spiritually because of all the evil that he had to bear and he was rewarded both in this life and in the age to come (Job 42:10-17, James 5:11). Obviously Job's Crosses did him no permanent harm either.

John Chrysostom summarized<sup>18</sup> the effect of the Cross on the life of the Apostle Paul. Because his entire life, after his conversion, focused on the things of Christ, to the exclusion of the things of this world, he did not live the common life. He was above it; and for anyone to kill him, they simply ushered him into a better life.

“Since I have often escaped dangers, and have this to boast of to the unbelievers, do not think I am put to shame, if now I should face danger. ‘I am not ashamed of the Gospel!’ (Romans 1:16) This he did not say in ignorance, for he knew that he was not to die then, but sometime after; yet even now he prepares their soul”.

“For even in dying, he means, I shall not have died, for I have my life in myself; then would they truly have slain me, if they had power to cast faith out of my soul through fear. But as long as Christ is with me, even though death overtakes me, still I live, and in this present life, Christ is my life. Because of this, ‘that life which I now live in the flesh I live in faith’; so in that state also, ‘I live, yet not I, but Christ lives in me’ (Galatians 2:20). Such ought a Christian to be! I live not the common life, he says. How do you live then, Paul? Do you not see the sun; do you not breathe the common air? Are you not nourished with the same food as others? Do you not tread the earth as we? What do you mean by, ‘I live not?’”

“If facts witness to events, there is no boasting here. Let us learn how he lives not! ‘I have been crucified to the world, and the world to me’ (Galatians 6:14). He says, ‘I no longer live’, and, ‘to me to live is Christ’. The word ‘life’ is very significant, as also the word ‘death’. There is this life of the body and there is the life of sin (Romans 6:2). It is then possible to live the life of sin. There is the life everlasting and immortal; ‘for our citizenship is in heaven’ (Philippians 3:20). There is the life of the body, which he speaks of, ‘through Him we live and move and have our being’ (Acts 17:28). He does not deny that he lives the natural life; but he does deny that he lives the life of sin, which all men live”.

“He who desires not the present life, he who is hastening to another, he who despises death, he who desires nothing, how does he live this life? For as one made of adamant<sup>19</sup>, though he were struck a thousand blows, would never be bothered by it; neither would Paul. And ‘I live, but no longer I’; that is, no longer the old man, as elsewhere, ‘Wretched man that I am, who shall deliver me out of the body of this death!’ (Romans 7:24) How does he live who does so not for the sake of food, clothing, nor for any of these present things? Such a one does not live the natural life: he who takes thought for none of the things which sustain life, doesn't live. We live this life, when our every action regards it. But he didn't live; he busied himself about nothing of the things here. Elsewhere he shows that he does not reject the natural life. ‘The life which I now live in the

---

<sup>18</sup> John Chrysostom, Homilies on Philippians, III.

<sup>19</sup> Adamant was a very hard legendary material, possible associated with diamond.

flesh, I live in the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me' (Galatians 2:20). That is, a certain new life I live, an altered one".

"Think not, he says, that I shall be deprived of anything in this life; for while alive I lived this life as Christ willed. For tell me, He who despises money, luxury, hunger, thirst, dangers, health, safety, does he live this life? He who has nothing here, and is often willing to cast life away, if need be, and does not cling to it, does he live this life?"

"This I must make clear to you by a kind of example. Let us imagine someone in great wealth, with many servants, and much gold, and who makes no use of all these things; is such a one rich for all his wealth? By no means! Let him watch his children dissipating his property, strolling idly about; let him feel no concern for them. When beaten, let him not even notice pain. Shall we call him a man of wealth? By no means, although his wealth is his own! 'For me', he says, 'to live is Christ'; if you will inquire of my life, it is He. 'And to die is gain'. Why? Because then I shall more clearly be present with Him; so that my death is rather a coming to life. They who kill me will work on me no dreadful thing; they will only send me onward to my proper life, and free me from that which is not mine".

Athanasius of Alexandria stated<sup>20</sup> that we keep the Commandments when we offer ourselves to the Lord in thankfulness. This exhibits Christ living in us, even though we offer to Him only what He gave us. Wicked men, heretics and schismatics, on the other hand, cannot bring anything that God will accept, and thus cannot properly observe the Paschal Feast.

"Nature is not able, with material things, to return a recompense for His benefits; yet let us render Him thanks while we persevere in piety. And how can we more abide in piety than when we acknowledge God, Who in His love to mankind has bestowed on us such benefits? For thus we shall obediently keep the Law, and observe its Commandments! Further, we shall not, as unthankful persons, be accounted transgressors of the Law, or do those things, which ought to be hated, for the Lord loves the thankful. We do this when we offer ourselves to the Lord, like the saints, when we subscribe ourselves entirely as living not to ourselves, but to the Lord, as also the blessed Paul did, when he said, 'I have been crucified with Christ; it is no longer I who live, but Christ lives in me'" (Galatians 2:20).

"Our life, my brethren, truly consists in our denying all bodily things, and continuing steadfast in those only of our Savior. Therefore the present Paschal season requires of us, that we should not only utter such words, but should also imitate the deeds of the saints. We imitate them, when we acknowledge Him who died, and no longer live to ourselves. Also when we render recompense to the Lord, though, by grace, we give nothing of our own. He bears witness to this when He says that My offerings are My own gifts (Numbers 28:2 LXX). That is, those things which you give Me are yours, as having received them from Me, but they are the gifts of God. And let us offer to the Lord every virtue, and that true holiness which is in Him, and in piety let us keep the feast to Him with those

---

<sup>20</sup> Athanasius of Alexandria, Festal Letters, V, 3-4.

things which He has hallowed for us. Let us thus engage in the holy fasts, as having been prescribed by Him, and by means of which we find the way to God”.

“Although wicked men<sup>21</sup> press forward to keep the feast, and as at a feast praise God, and intrude into the Church of the saints, yet God says to the sinner, ‘why do you talk of My ordinances?’ And the gentle Spirit rebukes them, saying, ‘Praise is not becoming in the mouth of a sinner, for it was not sent to him by the Lord’ (Ecclesiasticus 15:9 LXX, Psalm 50:16). Neither has sin any place in common with the praise of God; for the sinner has a mouth speaking perverse things, as the Proverb says, ‘The mouth of the ungodly answers evil things’ (Proverbs 15:28 LXX). For what communion has righteousness with iniquity? Or what fellowship is there between light and darkness? (2 Corinthians 6:14) Thus it is: sinners, and all those who are aliens from the Catholic Church, heretics, and schismatics, since they are excluded from glorifying God with the saints, cannot properly even continue observers of the feast. But the righteous man, although he appears dying to the world, uses boldness of speech, saying, ‘I shall not die, but live, and declare the works of the Lord’” (Psalm 118:17).

### **Taking Up Our Cross: Humility and Love**

From the Gospel lesson from the Sunday before the Exaltation of the Cross, “For God so loved the world that He gave His Only Begotten Son” (John 3:16). The Cross, then, is the ultimate act of the love of God for man. “Greater love has no one than this, than to lay down one’s life for his friends” (John 15:13). The Lord applies these same principles that He used to us: “This is My commandment, that you love one another as I have loved you” (John 15:12).

At the Sermon on the Mount, Jesus spoke to the multitudes: “Love your enemies, bless those who curse you, do good to those who hate you, and pray for those who spitefully use you and persecute you. For if you love those who love you, what reward have you? Do not even the tax collectors do the same?” (Matthew 5:44-48). This is how the Lord treated His enemies; He took even their sins with Him to the Cross<sup>22</sup>.

Contrasting life in the world around them, Jesus had said, “You know that those who are considered rulers over the Gentiles lord it over them. Yet it shall not be so among you; but whoever desires to become great among you shall be your deacon, and slave of all” (Mark 10:42-44). The Apostle Peter elaborated on this and compared the humility of Christ with how husbands and wives should treat one another with love, humility and obedience (1 Peter 2:21-3:9). Diadochos of Photiki commented<sup>23</sup> on this:

“Obedience is the chief among the initiatory virtues; for first it displaces presumption and then it engenders humility within us. Thus it becomes for those who are willing to embrace it, a door leading to the love of God”.

---

<sup>21</sup> Athanasius of Alexandria, *Festal Letters*, VII, 4.

<sup>22</sup> We will consider this in more detail in the Gospel lesson for the 2nd Sunday of Luke.

<sup>23</sup> Diadochos of Photiki, *On Spiritual Knowledge*, Text 41.

The Lord's brother, James, wrote, "Humble yourselves in the sight of the Lord and He will lift you up" (James 4:10). Diadochos also commented<sup>24</sup> on this as follows:

"When the intellect fully and consciously senses the illumination of God's Grace, the soul possesses a humility which is, as it were, natural. Wholly filled with divine blessedness, it can no longer be puffed up with its own glory; for even if it carries out God's commandments ceaselessly, it still considers itself more humble than all other souls because it shares His forbearance".

John of Karpathos added<sup>25</sup>, "If you always behave with humility before the Lord, you will never show arrogance toward anyone".

Gregory of Nyssa stated<sup>26</sup> that humility does not come easily, since the removal of pride is involved.

"But let no one imagine that humility can be achieved easily and without labor. The Lord removes pride, the root of evil, from our character by counseling us to imitate Him who became poor of His own will, Who is the truly Blessed One. For as it is said, 'Let this mind be in you which was also in Christ Jesus: who being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God, but emptied Himself taking the form of a servant' (Philippians 2:5-7). The perfectly Pure accepts the filth of human nature".

Just as our Lord was exalted and glorified because He humbled Himself to the point of death on His Cross (Philippians 2:5-10), so also we will be exalted if we humble ourselves in taking up our crosses. Our crosses are not our enemies; our crosses are for our benefit. Referring to the reply of the Twelve to Jesus, "Behold we have left all we had and followed You; what therefore shall we have?" (Matthew 19:27, Mark 10:28, Luke 18:28). Gregory of Nyssa said<sup>27</sup>,

"Would you like to know who it is that is poor in spirit? It is he who is given the riches of the soul in exchange for material wealth, who is poor for the sake of the spirit. He has shaken off earthly riches like a burden so that he might be lightly lifted into the air and be borne upwards".

Paul spoke of Jesus enduring His Cross and despising the shame because of the joy that was set before Him (Hebrews 12:2). That joy for Him was us, as we faithfully endure our crosses. And like Him, any humiliation we suffer in the eyes of the world causes us no permanent harm and does not even compare to the rewards the Lord brings at His Second Coming.

Thus the Cross, an instrument of death, actually brings life. As the Lord said: "He who does not take his cross and follow after Me is not worthy of Me. He who finds his life will lose it, and he who loses his life for My sake will find it (Matthew 10:38-39).

---

<sup>24</sup> Diadochos of Photiki, On Spiritual Knowledge, Text 95.

<sup>25</sup> John of Karpathos, Texts for the Monks of India, Text 12.

<sup>26</sup> Gregory of Nyssa, The Beatitudes, Sermon 1.

<sup>27</sup> Gregory of Nyssa, The Beatitudes, Sermon 1.

# ***JAIRUS' DAUGHTER***

**October 29, 2017**  
**7<sup>th</sup> Sunday of Luke**  
**Revision D**

## **Gospel: Luke 8:41-56**

In the West, today's Gospel lesson is read from either Matthew at this same time in the church year, or from Mark in mid-July.

Understanding the role of the Twelve Apostles is crucial to understanding the Church. Their names are on the foundations of the gates of the New Jerusalem (Revelation 21:10-14) and they will sit on twelve thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel (Matthew 19:22-30). But yet, they did not just fall out of the sky on Pentecost ready to evangelize the earth. And the Holy Spirit at Pentecost was not just a magic elixir that enabled them to do anything. Rather, they had some struggles of their own that they needed to go through. And the Lord spent time with them to train them in what they should do. And the Holy Spirit then enabled them to do that. Today, we will look at some of the training the Lord used to prepare them for Pentecost.

## **Gospel Context**

On the 7<sup>th</sup> Sunday of Luke, the Gospel lesson was about the Gadarene demoniacs who lived in the tombs, broke their chains and cried out day and night after cutting themselves with stones. Jesus and the Twelve rowed across the Sea of Galilee to heal these poor guys, then rowed right back. On the way over to Gadara, they were rowing into a fierce storm and Jesus calmed the storm. The Twelve reacted, "Who can this be, that even the wind and the sea obey Him?" (Mark 4:41).

Upon their arrival back in Capernaum (which is today's Gospel lesson), Jesus raised Jairus' daughter from the dead. Right after that, He sent the Twelve out two by two to heal the sick, cast out demons and raise the dead all by themselves (Matthew 10:8). Today's Gospel lesson, then, is part of the training program. In just two years, Jesus would be sending the Twelve out to evangelize the earth, but at this point they're struggling to figure out who He is!

There are (at least) three stages of recognition that the Twelve experienced:

1. As Messiah when they were called and shortly thereafter (John 1:41). This alone is not sufficient. "Messiah" means "the anointed one"; King David and Aaron the High Priest were also anointed.
2. As the Son of God following some of these incredible miracles (Matthew 14:33). This is a crucial recognition! Satan also recognized this and trembles at it (James 2:19).
3. As I AM in terms of the Word of the Cross where they take up their cross also (John 8:38). Satan doesn't do this!

## **Gospel: Luke 8:40-56 (Matthew 9:18-26, Mark 5:21-43)**

When Jesus arrived back in Galilee after healing the Gadarene demoniacs, he was met by a crowd that included the ruler of the local Synagogue (probably Capernaum). The ruler asked Jesus to come to his house to heal his daughter. On the way, a woman touched the hem of Jesus' garment and was instantly healed of a flow of blood (or hemorrhage).

### **History of the Synagogue:**

The traditions of the synagogue go back to Moses (Acts 15:21). However, as an institution, it probably originated with Ezra and the return from captivity in Babylon (see Ezra 7:9-10). There was a formal service at the synagogue on the Sabbath, but it wasn't the same as at the Temple in Jerusalem since no animal sacrifice was done at the synagogues. Focus was on the reading – probably by chanting – of the Scriptures, where certain parts of the Scriptures were required to be read at certain times of the year. [For example Exodus 12:24-27]. The music was probably a little different also since the “instruments of David” were only used at the Temple in Jerusalem (1 Chronicles 23:5, 1 Chronicles 25:1-7, 2 Chronicles 29:25-28).

Synagogues were located throughout the world wherever there was a Jewish community. On Paul's missionary journeys, it was his common practice to stop at the synagogue on the Sabbath. Some synagogues received him, while others didn't (compare Berea to Thessalonica in Acts 17:1-13).

The ruler of the synagogue had a role similar to pastors in churches today. He conducted the public worship, appointed who should read the Scriptures and prayers, selected someone to preach (if he didn't preach himself), and supervised care of the building and property. Most synagogues also had elders and attendants. The elders formed a council for judgment in civil and religious matters and the attendant had the care of the scrolls as well as the job of executing the punishment of scourging and teaching the children to read.

Later on after Pentecost, many priests became obedient to the Faith (Acts 6:7) and so did some synagogue rulers such as Crispus (Acts 18:8) and Sosthenes (Acts 18:17) in Corinth.

### **A Little Faith Goes a Long Way:**

Both Jairus and the woman with a hemorrhage exhibited a little faith – they came to Jesus convinced He could help. Both also had their faith tested a little also. Jairus had to stretch his faith from believing Jesus could heal sickness to believing He could raise the dead. Jesus also encouraged Jairus to do so. Jairus' faith was further tested with the public ridicule from the mourners.

From the healing of the woman's hemorrhage, we have an example of the use of physical objects for spiritual purposes. Jesus taught that one thing sanctifies another. Here power went out from Him (Luke 8:46) via a touch of the hem of His garment. The Master sanctified the garment and the garment sanctified the hem. Jesus had criticized the scribes and Pharisees for saying that whoever swears by the Temple, it is nothing; but whoever swears by the gold of the

Temple, he is obliged to perform it. Jesus pointed out: Which is greater, the gold or the Temple that sanctified it? (Matthew 23:16-17). Thus, one thing sanctifies another. This is also the case with icons, holy water, holy oil and many instances of relics of the saints.

We in the West are unaccustomed to dealing with holy relics. In 2 Kings 13:20-21 is an account of a man being raised from the dead by touching the bones of Elisha. And in this account, it was completely unintentional; no faith at all existed on the part of the burial crew. The relics of Elisha had been sanctified by the power behind the life of Elisha. Similarly during the two years that Paul was in Ephesus on his Third Missionary Journey, handkerchiefs and aprons that touched Paul's body were used to heal diseases and cast out evil spirits (Acts 19:12). Again, one thing sanctified another.

When Jesus stopped and said, "Who touched me?" (Luke 8:45-46), the woman was petrified. She had made the Teacher unclean and He had caught her doing so! (Anyone with a bodily discharge was unclean, and so was anyone who touched them – Leviticus 15:1-7). But the Son of God cannot be made unclean unless He so permits (as He did on the Cross – 2 Corinthians 5:21). Therefore, uncleanness touching the Clean One became clean also. After she fell at His feet and confessed, He said, "Be of good cheer, your faith has made you well!"

John Chrysostom compared the woman to the synagogue ruler. Besides the synagogue ruler being a well-known public figure and the woman being an outcast, Chrysostom said: "Do you see the superiority of the woman to the ruler of the synagogue? She did not detain Him (but he required His presence); she took no hold of Him, but only touched Him (but he required the laying on of His hand – Matthew 9:18). Even though she came later, she was the first to go away healed" (Homily XXXI on Matthew 9).

Chrysostom pointed out that the woman did not approach Jesus boldly since she was ashamed of her affliction and considered herself unclean. Under the Law, her affliction was considered a "great uncleanness" (Ibid.). According to the Mosaic Law, she was unclean for the entire twelve years of her flow of blood (Leviticus 15:25). Likewise, anyone touching her bed or anything she sat on was also unclean (Leviticus 15:26-27), as was anyone touching her (Leviticus 15:7). Being unclean, she was equivalent to a leper and couldn't participate in the worship or sacrifices of Israel.

Since by touching Jesus, she would make Him unclean also, this may be why she touched only the hem of His garment (Luke 8:44), as if that would make a difference to the Pharisees.

### **Death Becomes Merely a Sleep:**

When Jesus arrived at the synagogue ruler's house, He was greeted by the tumult of the weeping and wailing of the mourners (Mark 5:38). Jesus' response was to tell them all that the child was not dead, but sleeping. And they all ridiculed Him (Luke 8:52-53). Jesus said the same thing later on regarding Lazarus (John 11:11). Chrysostom comments: "He is teaching us not to fear death: for it is no longer death, but has henceforth become a sleep. Since He, Himself was to die, He uses the persons of others to prepare His disciples beforehand to be of good

courage and to bear the end meekly. Since in truth, when He had come, death was from that time forward a sleep” (Ibid.). This was not so apparent, however, until after Christ’s Resurrection.

We note that Jesus did not rebuke the mourners or criticize them for ridiculing Him. Chrysostom said that the flute players and the noisy wailers (Matthew 9:23) and the tumult (Mark 5:38) served a useful purpose. All this testified that the child was for sure dead and they even ridiculed Jesus for stating otherwise. Thus the wailers served as proof that the miracle occurred; but they were declared unworthy to witness the event (Ibid.). This is why He put them all out of the house and brought only Jairus, his wife, plus Peter, James and John into the room when He raised the child (Luke 8:51). Later, Peter did the same thing when he raised Tabitha (Acts 9:39-41).

Chrysostom went on to apply the Gospel lesson to life in his day. Why, he asked, do people mourn and weep at the funeral of a Christian? Doing so testifies that the resurrection is a fable, and that the deceased is gone and has no hope. By doing so, “How will you be able to persuade the Greek that you believe otherwise?”

“If indeed he departed a sinner, his wickedness has stopped; for certainly, had God known that he was being converted, He would not have snatched him away before his repentance. But if he ended his life righteous, he now possesses all good in safety. From this, it is clear that your tears are not of kindly affection, but of unreasoning passion. For if you loved the departed, you should rejoice and be glad that he is delivered from the present waves” (Ibid.).

Something else occurred at the raising of Jairus’ daughter that would come to have significance over a year later. The wife of Pontius Pilate and her 12-year old son were also present at the house of Jairus at that time. And Jesus healed Pilate’s son of some form of lameness in addition to raising the daughter of Jairus.

Later according to tradition<sup>28</sup>, during Jesus’ trial before Pilate, when word was brought to Pilate regarding his wife’s dreams (Matthew 27:19), he said to the Jewish leaders, “You know that my wife is a worshipper of God, and prefers to adhere to the Jewish religion along with you”. They said to him, “Yes; we know”. Pilate then mentioned what his wife said. The Jews answered Pilate, “Did we not tell you that He was a sorcerer? Behold, he has sent a dream to your wife”.

According to tradition<sup>29</sup>, Claudia Procula, Pilate’s wife, was a granddaughter of Augustus Caesar, and she was also a good friend of Fulvia, the wife of Jairus, the Synagogue Ruler. Pontius Pilate used to love to engage in intellectual and philosophical arguments with Jairus. Claudia Procula was present at Jairus’ house when Jesus raised Jairus’ 12-year old daughter from the dead (Mark 5:41-43), and her own 12-year old son was healed of lameness at the same time. She had tried to influence her husband in favor of Christ, but to no avail. Pilate had been concentrating on his political career, desiring a higher position in Egypt. Hindering Pilate was

---

<sup>28</sup> Roberts and Donaldson, “Part I, The Acts of Pilate”, Chapter 2, First Greek Form, Apocrypha of the New Testament, *Ante-Nicene Fathers*, Volume 7, Hendrickson Publishers, Peabody, MA, 1994.

<sup>29</sup> Catherine van Dyke, tr., “The Letters of Pontius Pilate and Claudia Procula”, *Relics of Repentance*, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Issana Press, Lincoln, NE 68503, 1990.

none other than Herod, where the two supposedly became friends over Jesus' trial (Luke 23:6-13). Herod wanted to install a cousin in place of Pontius Pilate, and this had created a rivalry between them.

After Herod saw Jesus and became friendly with Pilate, Herod pressed Pilate to get Jesus' trial over with quickly so that the two of them could embark on a fishing trip. Herod later double-crossed Pilate and spoke secretly against him to Caesar. Pilate's young son, on hearing that his father had allowed the execution of Jesus, the One who had healed him, could not bear it and suddenly dropped dead.

Pilate never got the promotion he was looking for. A few years after Pentecost, he was called to appear before the Emperor in Rome regarding why he released Barabbas, an insurrectionist and a murderer. By the time Pilate got to Rome, Claudius was dead and Caligula was on the throne. Pilate was not able to defend himself and was exiled to Gaul. Claudia went with him to help, but Pilate committed suicide there. Claudia returned to Jerusalem and spent the rest of her life in prayer and fasting with the Myrrh-Bearing Women.